The peer review process is designed to assess the validity, quality and the originality of articles for publication. Its purpose is to maintain the integrity of science by filtering out invalid or poor quality articles.

*Acta Marisiensis Seria Historia* uses double-blind peer review, which means that both the reviewer and author identities are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa, throughout the review process.

To facilitate this, authors need to ensure that their manuscripts are prepared in a way that does not give away their identity. To help with this preparation please ensure the following when submitting:

- Submit the Title Page containing the Authors details and Blinded Manuscript with no author details as 2 separate files.

**Information to help prepare the Title Page**

This should include the title, author’s name and affiliation, and a complete corresponding address including telephone and e-mail address.

**Information to help prepare the Blinded Manuscript**
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- Do not eliminate essential self-references or other references but limit self-references only to papers that are relevant for those reviewing the submitted paper.
- Cite papers published by the Author in the text as follows: ‘[Anonymous, 2010]’.
- For blinding in the reference list: ‘[Anonymous 2010] Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.’
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Studies and articles respecting the format recommended by the Editorial board are brought to the attention of the reviewers. The journal's reviewers are scholars with acknowledge reputation in the historical filed.

The analysis of the scientific content is carried out through rigorous scientific criteria, according to a predefined form for increasing objectivity. The process and the form are designed in order to evaluate the degree of novelty and originality, the accuracy and the scientific rigorousness of materials submitted by the authors to the editorial team.

The Editorial Board retains the right to reject articles that do not meet academic requirements or send to the author the article to be revised, in order to meet the requirements of the reviewer. **We do not accept articles which have been published, or have been submitted for publication in other journals or volumes!**

The Editorial Board assumes no liability whatsoever for the opinions expressed by authors in their articles. The authors assume full responsibility for the published text regarding intellectual property rights and other rights that may occur.

**Evaluation steps:**

1. Articles are received by the editorial office.
2. Articles are assessed in terms of compliance with formal conditions by the managing editors.
3. Articles are sent to the external reviewers.
4. Authors are informed of external review recommendation.
5. Articles that meet the publishing criteria are published in the journal issues.