Guidelines for Reviewers:

1. BSP Editorial board makes preliminary verification of the manuscripts, examining adequacy of the topic with the profile of the journal as well as the compliance with editorial requirements.

2. Articles are reviewed by two Reviewers according to the double-blind review process, with the identity of the Authors and Reviewers kept unknown.

3. To review the article two outside Reviewers are appointed, who:
   - are affiliated in a different unit than the Author of the article;
   - are not members of the BSP Editorial board;
   - hold at least a “PhD” title and have considerable academic achievements ensuring proper review level.

4. Articles which do not fulfill the requirement for a research article will not be accepted for publication. Research article is a text presenting results of original research of empirical, theoretical or analytical character and which include publication title, surnames and names of the Authors, research methodology, course of the research process, its results and conclusions together with quoted literature (references).

5. Reviewers evaluate the manuscripts taken into consideration the following criteria:
   - contribution to the development of science;
   - significant character of the research and/or special knowledge of the matter based on personal experience;
   - article structure (content layout, indication of thesis/theses and consistent analysis aiming at its/their verification);
   - style, language;
   - results of the research and conclusions.

6. The review is ended by explicit conclusion regarding:
   - admitting the article to publication without correction,
   - admitting the article to publication after correction suggested by the Reviewer,
   - admitting the article to publication after introducing significant changes and corrections and re-passing the review process,
   - or rejecting the article.

7. Reviewers are obliged to maintain confidentiality and not to use any information from the articles before their publication.
8. Reviewers comments are passed to the Authors of the articles. If the Reviewer make the publication of the article dependent on the changes he/she suggested, then the Author is obliged to make them according to the Reviewer’s comments within 14 days since receiving the review using “track changes” mode.

9. The Author has the right to refer to the Reviewer’s suggestions by presenting his/her justified opinion to the BSP Editorial board.

10. Articles with two positive reviews are published. The final decision to publish the article is made by the Editorial Board. The comments included in the review, the importance of the issues raised, the originality of the approach to the topic and the quality of the research are taken into account.