Reviewing Process

- Each article published in the Journal will be reviewed by at least two referees.

- Authors can recommend potential reviewers. Journal editors will check to make sure there are no conflicts of interest before contacting those reviewers and will not consider those with competing interests. Authors can also enter the names of potential peer reviewers they wish to exclude from consideration in the peer review of their manuscript, during the initial submission progress. The editorial team will respect these requests so long as this does not interfere with the objective and thorough assessment of the submission.

- The reviewers are selected by the Editors from among scientists specializing in the particular subject.

- The selected reviewers may not enter a conflict of interest with the authors of the work (kinship, direct personal relationship, business subordination).

- Reviews are anonymous (single blind review), that means the identity of the reviewer is not disclosed to the author.

- The reviewer uploads his review onto the Editorial Manager System. The review must provide a conclusion as to whether the article should or should not be published.

- The reviewer’s comments are passed to the author of the article. The author considers the comments of the reviewer and makes corrections to the text. If he disagrees with the opinion of the reviewer, he gives his opinion in a list of answers to the Editorial Board. The decision to publish the text is made by the Editors.

- According to good practice in science, the reviewer cannot use information from the article before its publication.

- Reviews of articles are prepared free of charge.