

Editorial Policy

Compliance to the standards of ethical behaviour is expected of all parties involved: Authors, Editors and Reviewers.

Authors: Authors should present an objective analysis of the concept of their research work. They should present adequate details and references for others to be able to reproduce and possibly further improve the research. Inaccurate statements are considered to be unethical and are unacceptable. Review articles should be objective, extensive, proper and authentic reports of the state of the art. Authors should confirm that their articles, book reviews, etc. are entirely original and if the work and/or words of others have been used, this has been properly recognized. Authors should guarantee that they have written original articles or book reviews and if they are using the articles and/or words of others, it has to be cited appropriately. Plagiarism constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable. The corresponding author should certify that the full agreement of all co-authors in accepting the final version of the article and its submission for publication has been obtained. Submission of the manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing conduct and is unacceptable.

Editors: Editors should assess manuscripts on the basis of their academic merit and worthiness, exclusively. Editors evaluate manuscripts without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, citizenship or political opinions. Using unpublished manuscripts or information for the editor's personal research is strictly forbidden unless written consent from its author has been obtained. Reasonable measures are to be adopted by the editor if any complaints regarding a submitted manuscript or published paper have been presented.

Reviewers: Blind peer review is used by editors in order to decide about publishing articles. There is a possibility that the editor can assist the author in improving the article. Manuscripts and their evaluation will not be discussed with other editors. It can only be done with the general editor's approval. In case of reviews, editors must declare their opinions objectively with supporting arguments. Ideas and evaluations obtained through peer review are to be kept confidential and definitely not used for personal advantage. In case of any similarity between the manuscript being considered and any other previously published paper, the reviewer is obliged to call it to the editor's attention. One of the duties of reviewers is also to contribute to decisions of the editors as well as following the standards of objectivity and promptness.