Peer review process

For every paper, the Executive Board defines at least two reviewers who are asked to make their reviews. The review process is an open process. The reviewers have to be independent on the author and/or one another.

In the case when the paper is evidently out of the aim and scope of the Journal, embodies unquestioned and hardly corrigible failures concerning the formal aspects, language level or technical mistakes or it is in contradiction with moral principles of the Journal (like racism, sexism, extreme nationalism etc.), the Executive Board can decide to reject the paper without reviews.

Following aspects are evaluated: originality of the work, scholarly relevance, professional/industrial relevance, completeness of the work, acknowledgment of the work of others by references, organization of the manuscript, clarity in writing, tables, graphs and illustrations, likelihood of passing the ‘test of time’, international aspects, comparativeness of the paper. The recommendations of reviewers as well as the decision of the Executive Board can be as follow: to publish in the existing form, to publish with minor revisions, to publish after major revisions, not to publish.

Following reaction of authors is expected: to agree with the conclusions of reviewers and improve the paper if necessary, to disagree and explain their standpoints, to draw the paper down. The final decision of the Executive Board is definitive.