Guidelines for reviewing articles for publication
in the Financial InternetQuarterly

The guidelines for reviewing articles for publication in the Financial Internet Quarterly are in accordance with the "Good Practices in the academic peer reviewing process" published by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

Firstly, all articles submitted to Financial Internet Quarterly are subject of verification by Professional Plagiarism Prevention System iThenticate under cooperation with Sciendo. Then, each submission is checked by the Section Editors. At this stage, Editors determine whether the manuscript fits the Journal aim and scope, or they may reject the submission when they have observed that manuscript quality in the areas of literature review, used methodology or language communication is too low. For the last two years is observed that rejection rate is systematically increasing, in 2020 it was around 10%, then in 2021 it has 20%. In 2023 this indicator obtained level of almost 30%. It proves that the quality of delivered papers is constantly increasing.

Upon receipt of a proposal for an article and its acceptance by the Section Editor, a potential reviewers are selected based on their specialization in the field of the article. The potential reviewer receives an inquiry containing:

– title of the article,
– abstract of the article,
– information on the contents of the article,
– required deadline for receipt of the review,
– request for any further requirements (such as the need to deliver the source data upon which the research results of an article is based).

Manuscripts are sent to at least two independent reviewers for double-blind peer review. The reviewer and author identities are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa, throughout the review process. Evaluation of the reviewed article is done using the reviewer's form designed bythe Editorial Office.

To review each article two independent reviewers are appointed from outside the researchunit affiliated by the author of the manuscript (one person is from Poland and one from abroad). In situation, when one review is positive and second one is negative, Editorial Office ask for additional, third review. This review gives the outcome and final decision, if article can be published or not.

Reviewers are not to consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Once reviewers have provided their feedback, the Editor-in-Chief, in consultation with the Editorial Board, may decide to accept the Author's manuscript, request minor or major revisions, or decline definitively the manuscript. Editor-in-Chief informing the Author about final decision within 30 days from submission of the manuscript. Each manuscript is published within around 50-60 days from submission date.

Financial Internet Quarterly 202X, vol. X, nr X
www.finquarterly.com
University of Information Technology and Management in Rzeszow
Sucharskiego 2, 35-225 Rzeszow, Poland